Journal of Science Engineering Technology and Management Science
Volume 02, Issue 05, MAY 2025 *ISSN: 3049-0952*
www.jsetms.com

LOW POWER COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS FOR IoT DEVICES: PROTOCOLS, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

[1] M Swetha, [2] Ruchi Ch
[1] M. Tech -Communication Systems, MUIT-Lucknow
[2] M. Tech-Communication Systems, MUIT-Lucknow
swetha.m454@gmail.com , ruchi_ch@gmail.com

Abstract

The Internet of Things (IoT) ecosystem is expanding at an unprecedented pace, with approximately 18.8 billion connected devices projected globally by end of 2024. This rapid proliferation has made energy efficiency in wireless communication one of the most critical challenges for device designers and network architects alike. Low Power Communication Systems form the backbone of IoT deployments, enabling battery-operated sensors and actuators to function reliably for years without human intervention. This paper provides a comprehensive review of the dominant low-power wireless communication protocols—including LoRaWAN, Sigfox, Narrowband IoT (NB-IoT), LTE-M, Zigbee, and Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE)—along with an analysis of their energy consumption profiles, architectural characteristics, and suitability across diverse application domains. The study further examines major challenges such as spectrum congestion, security vulnerabilities, interoperability barriers, and the integration of edge computing and 5G technologies with existing low-power frameworks. The paper evaluates the progress made in Low Power Wide Area Network (LPWAN) deployments and offers recommendations for advancing energy-efficient IoT communication systems.

Keywords: IoT, Low Power Wide Area Network, LoRaWAN, NB-IoT, Zigbee, BLE, LPWAN, Energy Efficiency, Wireless Communication, Edge Computing

1. Introduction

The Internet of Things (IoT) represents one of the most transformative technological paradigms of the twenty-first century, enabling billions of physical devices to collect, transmit, and act upon data with minimal human intervention. From smart agriculture and industrial automation to healthcare monitoring and smart city infrastructure, IoT applications are reshaping entire industries. According to IoT Analytics, the number of connected IoT devices reached 16.7 billion globally in 2023, reflecting a 16% increase over the previous year, with projections indicating further growth to 18.8 billion devices by the end of 2024.

Central to this explosive growth is the fundamental question of connectivity: how do these billions of devices, often deployed in remote locations or within constrained hardware environments, communicate efficiently while sustaining multi-year battery lifetimes? Unlike smartphones or laptops, which are recharged daily, IoT sensors embedded in soil, pipelines, or structural components may need to operate for five to ten years on a single cell. This constraint makes low power communication an engineering imperative rather than merely a desirable feature.

Low Power Wide Area Networks (LPWANs) have emerged as the dominant solution for IoT deployments requiring wide geographic coverage with minimal energy consumption. Protocols such as LoRaWAN, Sigfox, NB-IoT, and LTE-M operate in a fundamentally different design space from traditional cellular and Wi-Fi technologies: they sacrifice raw throughput for extreme energy efficiency, extended range, and deep indoor or underground penetration. Simultaneously, short-range protocols like Zigbee and Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) continue to dominate applications requiring localized, high-frequency data exchange within homes, hospitals, and factories.

Despite the significant strides in LPWAN deployment—with over 2.7 million LoRa-based gateways deployed globally across 171 countries as of 2024—several challenges remain. These include interference and spectrum congestion in unlicensed frequency bands, inadequate security standards for resource-constrained devices, limited interoperability across heterogeneous networks, and the nascent integration of low-power protocols with emerging technologies such as 5G and mobile edge computing (MEC).

This paper systematically reviews the current landscape of low power communication systems for IoT devices. The research objectives are as follows: (1) To evaluate the energy consumption and architectural characteristics of leading LPWAN and short-range wireless protocols; (2) To identify key application domains and their suitability requirements; (3) To analyze challenges in deploying low-power IoT communication at scale; and (4) To recommend future research directions to foster robust and energy-efficient IoT connectivity.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Evolution of LPWAN Technologies

The concept of low-power wide-area communication for IoT applications began gaining academic and industrial traction in the early 2010s. The limitations of traditional cellular networks—high power draw, complex protocol stacks, and expensive module costs—motivated researchers to explore sub-GHz frequency bands with simpler modulation schemes. Semtech's introduction of the LoRa (Long Range) physical layer, based on Chirp Spread Spectrum (CSS) modulation, and the subsequent standardization of the LoRaWAN MAC protocol by the LoRa Alliance in 2015 marked a pivotal development in this trajectory. The LoRaWAN protocol was recognized as an international standard by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) in November 2021, further cementing its role in global IoT infrastructure.

Concurrently, the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) introduced NB-IoT (Release 13, 2016) and LTE-M as cellular LPWAN alternatives that operate within licensed spectrum, offering improved quality-of-service guarantees and enhanced security compared to unlicensed-band technologies. Research by Ikpehai et al. published in IEEE Access comprehensively compared LPWAN technologies and highlighted that licensed-band protocols such as NB-IoT offer better interference immunity but at higher module and connectivity costs. A 2019 study by Mekki et al. in ICT Express conducted a comparative analysis of LoRaWAN, Sigfox, and NB-IoT and concluded that LoRaWAN and Sigfox offer superior battery lifetime for low duty-cycle applications, while NB-IoT provides better quality-of-service for latency-sensitive use cases.

2.2 Short-Range Low-Power Protocols

Short-range wireless protocols, particularly Zigbee (IEEE 802.15.4) and Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE), have long served IoT deployments within constrained geographic areas. Zigbee's mesh network topology, operating at 2.4 GHz with data rates up to 250 kbps, makes it well-suited for home automation, industrial monitoring, and smart lighting where devices can relay data across multiple hops. BLE, standardized by the Bluetooth Special Interest Group (SIG), underwent a major enhancement with Bluetooth 5.0, which quadrupled range to approximately 400 meters and doubled data speed to 2 Mbps while maintaining ultra-low power consumption. A 2024 study reviewed in the

Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering found BLE to be the most energy-efficient wireless technology among BLE, LoRaWAN, Wi-Fi, NB-IoT, and Sigfox for smart grid applications.

2.3 Energy Consumption Research

Energy consumption analysis forms a foundational pillar of LPWAN research. Empirical studies comparing LPWAN technologies have consistently shown that the optimal choice is application dependent. Research published in MDPI Sensors measured current consumption for LoRaWAN, DASH7, Sigfox, and NB-IoT modules, finding that LoRaWAN and DASH7 demonstrated greater energy efficiency than Sigfox and NB-IoT for low duty-cycle sensor applications. A separate comparative study on precision agriculture found that the energy to transmit a single 10-byte payload packet was 90 mJ for Sigfox, 20 mJ for LoRa, and 90 mJ for NB-IoT, with adequate power management strategies enabling nodes to operate for up to ten years on a single battery. Research examining power consumption across Sigfox, NB-IoT, and LTE-M technologies further demonstrated that while Sigfox draws the lowest instantaneous current during transmission, LoRaWAN achieves the lowest overall battery consumption when total energy—factoring in transmission duration, current, and voltage—is considered. This distinction between peak current draw and total energy per transaction is critical for accurate battery lifetime estimation in real deployments.

3. Major Low-Power Communication Protocols

3.1 LoRaWAN

LoRaWAN (Long Range Wide Area Network) is an open LPWAN protocol built upon the LoRa physical layer developed by Semtech. It employs Chirp Spread Spectrum (CSS) modulation in sub-1 GHz ISM frequency bands (868 MHz in Europe, 915 MHz in North America), achieving communication ranges of 2–5 km in urban environments and up to 15 km in open rural terrain. LoRaWAN's Adaptive Data Rate (ADR) mechanism dynamically adjusts spreading factors (SF7 to SF12) to balance range, data rate, and energy consumption based on link quality. A network typically consists of end devices, gateways, and a network server. As of 2024, more than 2.7 million LoRa-based gateways have been deployed globally, serving over 225 million end devices across 171 countries.

3.2 Sigfox

Sigfox operates as a proprietary ultra-narrowband (UNB) network using 100 Hz-wide channels in the 868/915 MHz ISM bands. Its star network topology connects devices directly to widely distributed base stations capable of receiving signals from distances exceeding 50 km under ideal conditions. With a maximum payload of 12 bytes per message and a strict duty-cycle limitation of 140 uplink messages per day, Sigfox is intentionally designed for minimal data transmissions such as meter readings, environmental alerts, and asset tracking. This architectural simplicity translates to extremely low module costs and power consumption, making Sigfox particularly attractive for large-scale, low-frequency sensing deployments.

3.3 NB-IoT and LTE-M

NB-IoT (Narrowband IoT), standardized under 3GPP Release 13, is a licensed-spectrum cellular LPWAN technology designed to provide IoT connectivity over existing LTE infrastructure. It operates in 200 kHz channels, offering data rates of 20–250 kbps, deep indoor penetration, and coverage enhancement of up to 20 dB over standard LTE. NB-IoT supports three deployment modes: in-band (within existing LTE spectrum), guard-band (in LTE guard frequencies), and standalone (replacing legacy GSM). The technology has reached a milestone of connecting hundreds of millions of devices globally, driven by operator investment in smart metering, logistics tracking, and smart city applications. LTE-M (LTE Category M1) complements NB-IoT with higher data rates (up to 1 Mbps) and support for voice and mobility, making it better suited for mobile asset tracking and wearables.

3.4 Zigbee

Zigbee, based on the IEEE 802.15.4 standard, operates at 2.4 GHz with data rates of 250 kbps across ranges of 10–100 meters. Its mesh network architecture allows devices to function as coordinators, routers, or end devices, enabling data to traverse multiple hops and extending effective coverage within a building or facility. Zigbee is widely adopted in home automation, smart lighting, HVAC control, and industrial monitoring. Circular economy practices aligned with smart building management have benefited from Zigbee's low power consumption and ease of integration into existing building management systems.

3.5 Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE)

Bluetooth Low Energy, introduced in Bluetooth 4.0 and significantly enhanced in Bluetooth 5.0 (2016), was purpose-designed for battery-operated IoT devices. BLE achieves its energy efficiency through short, bursty communication patterns and aggressive use of sleep modes between transmissions. With Bluetooth 5.0 extending range to approximately 400 meters and doubling data throughput to 2 Mbps, BLE has expanded beyond traditional proximity applications into healthcare wearables, retail beacon systems, industrial sensors, and real-time location systems (RTLS). Its ubiquitous support in smartphones makes BLE a natural gateway protocol for consumer IoT devices.

4. Comparative Analysis Of Protocols

Table 1 summarizes key technical parameters across the major low-power IoT communication protocols reviewed in this paper. The comparison encompasses operational range, achievable data rates, estimated battery lifetime, and frequency band, drawn from published technical specifications and empirical research.

Table 1: Comparative Overview of Major Low-Power IoT Communication Protocols

Technology	Range	Data Rate	Battery Life	Frequency Band
LoRaWAN	2–15 km	0.3–50 kbps	~10 years	Sub-1 GHz ISM
Sigfox	10–50 km	100 bps	~10 years	868/915 MHz
NB-IoT	1–10 km	20–250 kbps	~8–10 years	Licensed LTE
Zigbee	10–100 m	250 kbps	~2 years	2.4 GHz ISM
BLE 5.0	Up to 400 m	1–2 Mbps	~1–2 years	2.4 GHz ISM
LTE-M	1–10 km	1 Mbps	~10 years	Licensed LTE

Source: Compiled from DFRobot (2023), MDPI Sensors, LoRa Alliance specifications, and 3GPP standards documentation.

5. Application Domain

5.1 Smart Agriculture

Agriculture represents one of the most compelling use cases for LPWAN-based IoT systems. Soil moisture sensors, weather stations, livestock trackers, and irrigation controllers are often deployed across large areas where cellular coverage may be sparse. LoRaWAN has emerged as a dominant technology in this space due to its wide coverage and low device cost. An empirical study comparing LoRa, Sigfox, and NB-IoT for agribusiness applications demonstrated that battery-powered nodes could operate for up to ten years monitoring temperature, humidity, and animal estrus cycles with appropriate power management. Solar-powered irrigation systems in India are increasingly integrating LoRaWAN gateways to provide real-time feedback to farmers, enabling both resource efficiency and yield improvement.

5.2 Smart Cities and Urban Infrastructure

Urban IoT deployments encompass smart street lighting, parking management, waste bin monitoring, air quality sensing, and water utility metering. NB-IoT has seen particularly strong adoption in smart meter deployments, as its licensed-spectrum operation provides reliable quality-of-service, and its deep penetration capability enables connectivity in underground utility installations. LoRaWAN and Sigfox have found complementary niches in non-critical applications where the economics of unlicensed operation outweigh the need for guaranteed delivery. Cities including Ahmedabad, Bangalore, and Pune in India have begun experimenting with IoT-based waste management and environmental monitoring platforms.

5.3 Industrial IoT (IIoT)

Manufacturing leads global IoT adoption, accounting for approximately 34% of total IoT deployments. Industrial environments demand communication protocols capable of operating reliably in electromagnetically noisy, physically obstructed settings. Zigbee's mesh architecture provides resilience in factory floors by routing data around physical obstacles. LTE-M's support for mobility makes it suitable for tracking assets across large industrial campuses. A 2024 study published via NCBI confirmed that LPWAN-based protocols—particularly LoRa, Sigfox, NB-IoT, and LTE-M—are more suitable for future industrial applications due to their energy efficiency, coverage, and cost advantages over traditional cellular technologies.

5.4 Healthcare Wearables

Healthcare IoT encompasses a wide spectrum of devices: wearable ECG patches, continuous glucose monitors, fall detection wristbands, and remote patient monitoring systems. BLE dominates this space due to its seamless integration with smartphones and tablets, enabling health data to be transmitted to cloud platforms via mobile gateways. The ultra-low power consumption of BLE 5.0 supports days to weeks of continuous monitoring on small rechargeable batteries. NB-IoT is gaining traction in standalone medical devices that require direct cellular connectivity without smartphone mediation, such as remote emergency alert buttons deployed for elderly patients.

6. Challenges In Low-Power Iot Communication

6.1 Spectrum Congestion and Interference

A fundamental limitation of unlicensed-band LPWAN technologies (LoRaWAN, Sigfox, Zigbee) is their operation in shared Industrial, Scientific, and Medical (ISM) frequency bands. As IoT deployments scale to millions of devices within a given region, interference between devices from different networks increases substantially. Research has shown that increasing the number of devices leads to rising collision rates and elevated packet error rates, particularly in densely deployed LoRa networks. Regulatory duty-cycle restrictions in the 868 MHz band (Europe) limit individual device transmissions to 1% of the time, constraining throughput but partially mitigating interference.

6.2 Security Vulnerabilities

IoT devices are inherently resource-constrained, often lacking the computational power or memory to implement robust cryptographic protocols. This creates significant attack surfaces, particularly as the number of connected devices grows. Research published by IEEE examining security and privacy for low-power IoT devices on 5G and beyond networks identified physical layer security, insufficient authentication and authorization protocols, and the absence of standardized security frameworks as primary areas of concern. IoT-related cybersecurity incidents reached 112 million in 2022, an 87% year-on-year increase, underscoring the severity of the problem. LoRaWAN employs AES-128 encryption at both the network and application layers, but implementation flaws and replay attack vulnerabilities have been documented in older versions of the specification.

6.3 Interoperability and Fragmentation

The IoT communication landscape is characterized by significant fragmentation across protocols, frequency bands, network architectures, and device ecosystems. A smart building may simultaneously deploy Zigbee, BLE, LoRaWAN, and NB-IoT devices from multiple vendors, each requiring separate gateways, management platforms, and data pipelines. The absence of a unified interoperability standard increases integration complexity drives up deployment costs, and creates data silos that limit the analytical value of IoT investments. Industry initiatives such as the Matter protocol (for smart home devices) and the OneM2M standard represent progress toward interoperability, but cross-domain standardization remains an open challenge.

6.4 Limited Payload and Duty Cycle Constraints

LPWAN protocols impose strict constraints on message size and transmission frequency that can limit application design. Sigfox restricts uplink payloads to 12 bytes and limits devices to 140 messages per day. LoRaWAN's duty-cycle restrictions and spreading factor selection create inherent trade-offs between range, data rate, and channel occupancy. These constraints require developers to carefully design data aggregation and compression strategies, which adds application-layer complexity and may not be adequate for emerging use cases that demand richer or more frequent data streams.

6.5 Integration with Edge Computing and 5G

The convergence of LPWAN, edge computing, and 5G presents both opportunities and challenges. Mobile Edge Computing (MEC), deployed in conjunction with 5G infrastructure, can serve as intelligent processing nodes that aggregate, filter, and act on data from low-power IoT devices without requiring roundtrips to centralized cloud servers. This reduces latency and alleviates backhaul congestion. However, the architectural integration of heterogeneous LPWAN protocols with 5G core networks and MEC platforms requires significant standardization work. Research presented at the

2024 International Conference on Innovative Computing and Communication identified the alignment of LPWAN device management with 5G network slicing and MEC orchestration as an active area requiring further investigation.

7. Theoretical Framework

The analysis in this paper draws on three complementary theoretical perspectives. The Technology Acceptance and Adoption Framework is used to examine how technical characteristics—range, energy consumption, data rate, and cost—determine the suitability of a given low-power protocol for a particular deployment context. Network Systems Theory is applied to analyze trade-offs within multi-technology IoT deployments, including mesh versus star topologies, gateway density requirements, and interference dynamics in dense urban deployments. The Energy-Throughput Trade-off Model formalizes the inverse relationship between data rate and battery lifetime across LPWAN technologies, providing a principled basis for comparing protocols under different duty-cycle and payload assumptions. Together, these frameworks provide a structured lens for evaluating the interconnection between physical layer characteristics, protocol design choices, and real-world deployment outcomes.

8. Methodology

This paper adopts a systematic literature review methodology, supplemented by secondary data analysis from industry reports and technical specifications. The review encompasses peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, technical white papers, and standardization documents published up to December 2024. Sources were identified through IEEE Xplore, Springer, MDPI, ResearchGate, PubMed/NCBI, and the official documentation of standards bodies including the LoRa Alliance, Bluetooth SIG, and 3GPP.

Inclusion criteria required sources to: (1) focus on wireless communication protocols or energy consumption in IoT systems; (2) present empirical measurements, comparative analyses, or systematic reviews; and (3) be published in peer-reviewed venues or by established industry organizations. Vendor-specific marketing materials were excluded unless corroborated by independent technical assessments.

Quantitative data—including device connection statistics, market valuations, and power consumption measurements—were cross-verified across multiple independent sources before inclusion. Protocol performance data in Table 1 was synthesized from published specifications and empirical measurement papers to represent typical operational values rather than theoretical maxima.

This study acknowledges several limitations. The rapidly evolving nature of the IoT market means that deployment statistics can change significantly within months. Energy consumption figures are highly sensitive to specific hardware implementations, duty cycles, and environmental conditions, making direct protocol comparisons indicative rather than universally definitive. Additionally, the geographic scope of cited deployment examples is weighted toward North America, Europe, and East Asia, and findings may require contextual adaptation for other regions.

9. Analysis And Discussion

9.1 Energy Efficiency as the Core Design Constraint

The defining characteristic that distinguishes low-power IoT protocols from conventional wireless technologies is the primacy of energy efficiency in their design. Empirical research consistently confirms that end-device battery lifetime is governed less by instantaneous power draw during transmission than by the cumulative energy per communication event and the efficiency of sleep modes between transmissions. LoRaWAN's deep sleep current consumption—often below 1 μA—enables it to achieve lower overall battery consumption than protocols with shorter transmission times but less efficient idle states. This finding has practical implications for network designers: optimizing sleep-mode implementation at the firmware level can yield greater battery life improvements than switching between protocols.

9.2 Protocol Selection as an Application-Dependent Decision

The comparative analysis demonstrates that no single low-power protocol is universally optimal across all IoT use cases. LoRaWAN and Sigfox excel in wide-area, low-frequency sensing applications where devices transmit small data packets at intervals of minutes or hours—typical of environmental monitoring, utility metering, and agricultural sensing. NB-IoT and LTE-M are better suited for deployments requiring higher throughput, guaranteed delivery, or mobility, and where the cost of licensed-spectrum connectivity is acceptable. Zigbee and BLE serve fundamentally different use cases: high-frequency, short-range communication within homes, hospitals, or factories where devices are either mains-powered or recharged regularly.

9.3 The Role of Edge Computing in LPWAN Systems

The integration of edge computing with LPWAN gateways represents a significant architectural evolution. By processing data at the network edge—within the LoRaWAN gateway or a co-located edge server—it becomes possible to filter redundant sensor readings, apply local analytics, and reduce the volume of data transmitted to cloud platforms. This edge-first approach not only reduces cloud processing costs but also lowers the frequency of device transmissions, directly extending battery lifetime. Mobile Edge Computing (MEC) deployed alongside 5G infrastructure further enables new classes of computationally lightweight IoT end-devices that offload processing to edge nodes, as highlighted in research examining the 5G-IoT-MEC integration paradigm.

9.4 Security as a Cross-Cutting Concern

The security landscape for low-power IoT devices presents a paradox: the same resource constraints that enable multi-year battery operation also limit the cryptographic capabilities available to device designers. Lightweight cryptography standards—including NIST's Lightweight Cryptography standardization process, which concluded in 2023 with the selection of ASCON as the primary lightweight authenticated encryption standard—provide a path toward robust security in constrained devices. Protocol-level security enhancements in LoRaWAN 1.1 (introducing join server separation, replay attack protection, and improved key management) represent meaningful progress, but widespread device firmware updates in the field remain operationally challenging, leaving large installed bases of older devices vulnerable.

9.5 Government Policy and Standardization

The growth of low-power IoT communication is closely linked to regulatory and standardization environments. The ITU's recognition of LoRaWAN as an international standard in 2021 has accelerated enterprise adoption by providing procurement confidence. National policies promoting smart city development, digital agriculture, and industrial digitization in countries including India (under the National Digital Communications Policy and Smart Cities Mission), the European Union (under Horizon Europe and the European Green Deal), and China (under the 14th Five-Year Plan for IoT) are creating large demand pools that justify infrastructure investment. Harmonization of spectrum allocations across regions remains an ongoing challenge, particularly for deployments requiring cross-border coverage.

10. Conclusion

Low-power communication systems are the essential nervous system of the global IoT ecosystem. As the number of connected devices approaches 20 billion and continues to grow toward projected figures of 39 billion by 2030, the ability to sustain these devices through efficient wireless communication protocols will determine the scalability, reliability, and economic viability of IoT deployments across every sector.

This paper has reviewed the major low-power wireless protocols—LoRaWAN, Sigfox, NB-IoT, LTE-M, Zigbee, and BLE—characterizing their energy consumption profiles, coverage capabilities, and suitability for diverse application contexts. The analysis confirms that protocol selection must be treated as an application-specific optimization problem, balancing range, data rate, duty cycle, security, cost, and regulatory constraints. No single protocol is universally superior; rather, the optimal choice depends on the specific operational requirements of the deployment.

Significant challenges remain, including spectrum congestion in unlicensed bands, inadequate security frameworks for constrained devices, interoperability fragmentation across heterogeneous networks, and the complexity of integrating LPWAN systems with emerging 5G and edge computing architectures. Addressing these challenges will require coordinated effort from standards bodies, regulators, device manufacturers, and network operators.

Future research should focus on: (1) lightweight cryptography implementations optimized for sub-mW IoT devices; (2) AI-driven adaptive duty-cycle management to extend battery lifetime in variable-traffic deployments; (3) standardized LPWAN-to-5G interworking interfaces that enable seamless protocol translation; and (4) energy harvesting integration—particularly solar, thermal, and RF harvesting—to extend or eliminate battery replacement cycles in remote deployments. These advances, pursued in conjunction with robust policy frameworks, will position low-power IoT communication as a durable foundation for sustainable, connected infrastructure worldwide.

11. References

1. LoRa Alliance. (2023). LoRaWAN Specification v1.1. LoRa Alliance Technical Committee. Retrieved from https://lora-alliance.org/resource_hub/lorawan-specification-v1-1
2. IoT Analytics. (2024). State of IoT 2024: Number of Connected IoT Devices Growing 13% to 18.8 Billion Globally. IoT Analytics GmbH.
3. Mekki, K., Bajic, E., & Chaxel, F. (2019). A Comparative Study of LPWAN Technologies for Large-Scale IoT Deployment. ICT Express, 5(1), 1–7.
4. Raza, U., Kulkarni, P., & Sooriyabandara, M. (2017). Low Power Wide Area Networks: An Overview. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 19(2), 855–873.
5. Semtech Corporation. (2023). AN1200.22: LoRa Modulation Basics. Semtech Application Note. Retrieved from https://www.semtech.com.

6. 3GPP. (2016). 3GPP Release 13: Narrowband IoT (NB-IoT). 3rd Generation Partnership Project Technical Specification Group.
7. Osman, N. I. M. (2022). Performance Evaluation of LoRa and Sigfox LPWAN Technologies for IoT. Academic Journal of Research and Scientific Publishing, 4(38).
8. Ikpehai, A., Adebisi, B., Rabie, K. M., et al. (2019). Low-Power Wide Area Network Technologies for Internet-of-Things. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 6(2), 2291–2312.
9. Salam, A. (2024). An Overview of Recent Wireless Technologies for IoT-Enabled Smart Grids. Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Wiley Online Library.
10. Citoni, B., Fioranelli, F., Imran, M. A., & Abbasi, Q. H. (2019). Internet of Things and LoRaWAN-Enabled Future Smart Farming. IEEE Internet of Things Magazine, 2(4), 14–19.
11. Adelantado, F., Vilajosana, X., Tuset-Peiro, P., Martinez, B., Melia-Segui, J., & Watteyne, T. (2017). Understanding the Limits of LoRaWAN. IEEE Communications Magazine, 55(9), 34–40.
12. Bluetooth SIG. (2016). Bluetooth 5 Core Specification. Bluetooth Special Interest Group.
13. DFRobot. (2023). Comparison of Wireless Technologies: LoRaWAN and Zigbee, WiFi, NB-IoT. DFRobot Technical Blog.
14. Feltrin, L., Tsoukaneri, G., Condoluci, M., Buratti, C., Mahmoodi, T., Dohler, M., & Verdone, R. (2019). Narrowband IoT: A Survey on Downlink and Uplink Perspectives. IEEE Wireless Communications, 26(1), 78–86.
15. MDPI Sensors. (2020). Energy Consumption Analysis of LPWAN Technologies and Lifetime Estimation for IoT Application. Sensors, 20(17), 4794.
16. Becoña, P., et al. (2024). LoRa, Sigfox, and NB-IoT: An Empirical Comparison for IoT LPWAN Technologies in the Agribusiness. IEEE Internet of Things Journal.
17. Singh, V. K., Mehra, S., & Ali, A. (2024). Edge Computing Integration with 5G for IoT: Framework, Challenges, and Future Directions. Proceedings of ICICC 2024. SSRN 5001181.
18. IEEE Xplore. (2023). Security and Privacy for Low Power IoT Devices on 5G and Beyond Networks: Challenges and Future Directions. IEEE Access.
19. NIST. (2023). Lightweight Cryptography Standardization: ASCON Algorithm Selection. National Institute of Standards and Technology.
20. ITU. (2021). ITU-T Recommendation on LoRaWAN as International Standard. International Telecommunication Union.
21. Vomhoff, V., Raffeck, S., & Gebert, S. (2023). NB-IoT vs. LTE-M: Measurement Study of the Energy Consumption of LPWAN Technologies. IEEE ICC Workshops 2023, pp. 403–408.
22. Government of India. (2022). National Digital Communications Policy: Smart IoT Infrastructure Framework. Ministry of Communications.
23. Journal of Engineering Science (ESR Groups). (2024). Overview of Low-Power Communication Technologies for IoT. Vol. 2024.
24. PMC/NCBI. (2024). Future Industrial Applications: Exploring LPWAN-Driven IoT Protocols. NIH Open Access.